


CSCW 2015 Survey

Q1 I am a

Answered: 129 Skipped: 2




Answer Choices	Responses
Student	33.33% 43
Practitioner	2.33% 3
Industry researcher	10.08% 13
Academic researcher	54.26% 70
Total	129

CSCW 2015 Survey

Q2 I am a

Answered: 130 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses	
Female	39.23%	51
Male	59.23%	77
Other	0.77%	1
Prefer not to say	0.77%	1
Total		130

CSCW 2015 Survey

Q3 The following role(s) best describe my participation at CSCW 2015 (check all that apply):


Answered: 121 Skipped: 10



Answer Choices	Responses
Presenter: Paper	32.23% 39
Session Chair	13.22% 16
Presenter: Poster / Demo	10.74% 13
Non-presenting co-author (any category)	19.01% 23
Panelist	8.26% 10
Doctoral Colloquium Participant / Mentor	4.96% 6
Workshop Participant / Organizer	41.32% 50
Student Volunteer	5.79% 7
Sponsor	1.65% 2
Committee Member - CSCW 2014	10.74% 13
Committee Member - CSCW 2015	16.53% 20
Committee Member - CSCW 2016	12.40% 15
Total Respondents: 121	

Q6 Please rate your experience of the following conference activities along the following scale:

Answered: 131 Skipped: 0



	Excellent	Good	Neutral	Fair	Poor	Didn't attend	Total	Weighted Average
Opening Plenary (Jeffrey Hancock)	41.22% 54	32.06% 42	9.92% 13	3.82% 5	1.53% 2	11.45% 15	131	2.27
Lasting Impact Award (Wanda Orlikowski)	32.54% 41	24.60% 31	11.90% 15	3.17% 4	0.79% 1	26.98% 34	126	2.96


CSCW 2015 Survey

CSCW Town Hall	9.68% 12	33.87% 42	11.29% 14	2.42% 3	0.81% 1	41.94% 52	124	3.77
Closing Plenary (Zeynep Tufekci)	15.75% 20	17.32% 22	14.17% 18	6.30% 8	10.24% 13	36.22% 46	127	3.87
Paper sessions (overall)	16.79% 22	70.99% 93	7.63% 10	3.05% 4	0.00% 0	1.53% 2	131	2.03
Panels (overall)	15.75% 20	25.98% 33	10.24% 13	5.51% 7	0.79% 1	41.73% 53	127	3.75
Posters short talks	4.76% 6	17.46% 22	15.08% 19	5.56% 7	1.59% 2	55.56% 70	126	4.48
Posters and Demos session/reception (Monday)	23.81% 30	31.75% 40	13.49% 17	2.38% 3	0.79% 1	27.78% 35	126	3.08
Workshop 1: The Future of Networked Privacy	3.36% 4	3.36% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	93.28% 111	119	5.70
Workshop 2: Moving Beyond e-Health and the Quantified Self	1.69% 2	3.39% 4	0.85% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	94.07% 111	118	5.75
Workshop 3: Information Technology and City Life	1.68% 2	1.68% 2	2.52% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	94.12% 112	119	5.77
Workshop 4: Let's Talk About Sex(Apps), CSCW	1.69% 2	1.69% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	96.61% 114	118	5.85
Workshop 5: Ethics for Studying Online Sociotechnical Systems in a Big Data World	3.39% 4	2.54% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	94.07% 111	118	5.73
Workshop 6: Advancing an Industry/Academic Partnership Model for Open Collaboration Research	3.36% 4	2.52% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	94.12% 112	119	5.73
Workshop 7: Doing CSCW Research in Latin America	1.69% 2	3.39% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.85% 1	94.07% 111	118	5.77
Workshop 8: Feminism and Feminist Approaches in Social Computing	0.85% 1	5.08% 6	0.00% 0	0.85% 1	0.85% 1	92.37% 109	118	5.73
Workshop 9: Collaboration and Social Computing in Emerging Financial Services	1.68% 2	2.52% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	95.80% 114	119	5.82
Workshop 10: Connecting Collaborative & Crowd Work with Online Education	1.69% 2	0.85% 1	0.00% 0	0.85% 1	0.00% 0	96.61% 114	118	5.86
Workshop 11: Supporting "Local Remote" Collaboration	0.85% 1	3.39% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	95.76% 113	118	5.82
Doctoral Consortium	4.20% 5	0.84% 1	0.84% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	94.12% 112	119	5.73
Welcome Reception with L@S (Sunday)	4.92% 6	27.87% 34	11.48% 14	1.64% 2	0.82% 1	53.28% 65	122	4.25
Thematic group lunches (Monday)	9.84% 12	13.11% 16	4.10% 5	0.00% 0	0.82% 1	72.13% 88	122	4.85
Confer coffee session (Tuesday)	5.00% 6	9.17% 11	6.67% 8	5.83% 7	4.17% 5	69.17% 83	120	5.03
Conference Reception/Banquet (Tuesday)	33.86% 43	37.01% 47	6.30% 8	2.36% 3	0.79% 1	19.69% 25	127	2.58

CSCW 2015 Survey

Q8 Please rate the following statements along the following scale:


Answered: 129 Skipped: 2



	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	NA	Total	Weighted Average
I missed the USB stick of proceedings	18.75% 24	16.41% 21	18.75% 24	14.84% 19	25.00% 32	6.25% 8	128	3.30
I found the paper program useful	35.94% 46	42.19% 54	5.47% 7	3.91% 5	5.47% 7	7.03% 9	128	2.22
I found the Confer web-based program useful	27.42% 34	29.03% 36	18.55% 23	8.87% 11	5.65% 7	10.48% 13	124	2.68
I found the papers being openly available in the ACM DL useful	59.20% 74	31.20% 39	4.80% 6	0.80% 1	0.00% 0	4.00% 5	125	1.63
Having talk slots of equal length for all papers was appropriate	32.00% 40	40.80% 51	15.20% 19	9.60% 12	0.80% 1	1.60% 2	125	2.11
Co-location with L@S was a good thing	10.40% 13	15.20% 19	36.80% 46	3.20% 4	1.60% 2	32.80% 41	125	3.69
The annual timing of the conference (mid-winter) works well for me	18.11% 23	50.39% 64	25.98% 33	3.15% 4	1.57% 2	0.79% 1	127	2.22

Q9 Fitting time for both paper presentations and other interaction into the program is a perennial challenge. Please rate the following strategies on the following scale: "If we did X, it would make my conference experience..."

Answered: 127 Skipped: 4




	Better	About the same	A little worse	Worse	A lot worse	Total	Weighted Average
Shorten talks	23.20% 29	28.80% 36	24.80% 31	20.00% 25	3.20% 4	125	2.51
Shorten Q&A	5.65% 7	29.03% 36	30.65% 38	24.19% 30	10.48% 13	124	3.05
Shorten breaks	5.65% 7	28.23% 35	27.42% 34	25.81% 32	12.90% 16	124	3.12
Shorten lunches	12.80% 16	20.80% 26	19.20% 24	31.20% 39	16.00% 20	125	3.17
Add more parallel tracks	7.14% 9	27.78% 35	24.60% 31	26.19% 33	14.29% 18	126	3.13
More panel discussions	19.67% 24	48.36% 59	22.95% 28	7.38% 9	1.64% 2	122	2.23
Make sessions longer	7.94% 10	32.54% 41	36.51% 46	19.05% 24	3.97% 5	126	2.79
Add another session per day	18.55% 23	31.45% 39	30.65% 38	17.74% 22	1.61% 2	124	2.52
Reduce the amount of content	6.50% 8	25.20% 31	37.40% 46	24.39% 30	6.50% 8	123	2.99
Extend the conference to four days	25.81% 32	29.84% 37	19.35% 24	16.13% 20	8.87% 11	124	2.52

CSCW 2015 Survey

Q11 Consider the following potential innovations for CSCW 2016 and beyond. Rate them along the following five-point scale:

Answered: 126 Skipped: 5




	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total	Weighted Average
Stop including conference swag (e.g., bags, trinkets)	24.60% 31	26.98% 34	27.78% 35	12.70% 16	7.94% 10	126	2.52
Add thematic dinner groups for newcomers	20.97% 26	45.97% 57	29.03% 36	4.03% 5	0.00% 0	124	2.16
Offer structured introductions/groups at coffee breaks	16.94% 21	32.26% 40	44.35% 55	5.65% 7	0.81% 1	124	2.41
Reinstitute the Open Space unconference/hack space track	10.66% 13	22.13% 27	57.38% 70	9.02% 11	0.82% 1	122	2.67
Reinstitute videos	2.44% 3	8.13% 10	58.54% 72	22.76% 28	8.13% 10	123	3.26
Reinstitute CSCW What's Next	2.48% 3	19.83% 24	68.60% 83	8.26% 10	0.83% 1	121	2.85
Reinstitute morning fitness activities	8.20% 10	31.97% 39	51.64% 63	5.74% 7	2.46% 3	122	2.62
Add an industry/case studies track	13.01% 16	43.90% 54	33.33% 41	8.13% 10	1.63% 2	123	2.41
Add a systems-oriented track	17.89% 22	29.27% 36	41.46% 51	9.76% 12	1.63% 2	123	2.48

CSCW 2015 Survey


Q15 My work location is (for USA please also give state):

Answered: 116 Skipped: 15



Country	Percent	Number
Brazil	4,55%	5
Canada	7,27%	8
China	0,91%	1
Denmark	7,27%	8
Germany	1,82%	2
Korea, South	0,91%	1
Netherlands	0,91%	1
Sweden	0,91%	1
United Kingdom	5,45%	6
United States of America	70,00%	77
TOTAL		110


State (for US only)



Country	Percent	Number
California	21,62%	16
Colorado	1,35%	1
District of Columbia	1,35%	1
Florida	1,35%	1
Georgia	1,35%	1
Illinois	6,76%	5
Indiana	1,35%	1
Iowa	2,70%	2
Maryland	4,05%	3
Massachusetts	4,05%	3
Michigan	4,05%	3
Minnesota	9,46%	7
New Jersey	1,35%	1
New York	6,76%	5
Pennsylvania	8,11%	6
Texas	2,70%	2
Virginia	2,70%	2
Washington	17,53%	13
Wisconsin	1,35%	1
TOTAL		74

Q16 I consider CSCW to be my primary conference home:


Answered: 123 Skipped: 8



Answer Choices	Responses	
YES	47.97%	59
NO	52.03%	64
Total		123

Q17 If not, my primary conference home is:

Answered: 52 Skipped: 79




Answer Choices	Responses	Count
CHI	59.62%	31
GROUP	0.00%	0
ECSCW	7.69%	4
HCOMP	0.00%	0
UIST	3.85%	2
Ubicomp	3.85%	2
DIS	1.92%	1
iConference	7.69%	4
ICWSM	1.92%	1
ICIS	3.85%	2
ICA	9.62%	5
Total		52

CSCW 2015 Survey

Q18 In addition to CSCW 2015, I have attended (check all that apply):


Answered: 72 Skipped: 59



Answer Choices	Responses
CSCW 2014 (Baltimore, MD)	72.22% 52
CSCW 2013 (San Antonio, TX)	56.94% 41
CSCW 2012 (Bellevue, WA)	45.83% 33
CSCW 2011 (Hangzhou, China)	20.83% 15
CSCW 2010 (Savannah, GA)	38.89% 28
CSCW 2008 (San Diego, CA)	36.11% 26
CSCW 2006 (Banff, Canada)	15.28% 11
CSCW 2004 (Chicago, IL)	18.06% 13
CSCW 2002 (New Orleans, LA)	15.28% 11
CSCW 2000 (Philadelphia, PA)	12.50% 9
Total Respondents: 72	

Q19 Other conferences I typically attend or submit to (check all that apply):


Answered: 104 Skipped: 27



Answer Choices	Responses	Count
CHI	80.77%	84
GROUP	25.96%	27
ECSCW	17.31%	18
UIST	10.58%	11
Ubicomp	14.42%	15
DIS	13.46%	14
HCOMP	2.88%	3
ICWSM	21.15%	22
ICA	12.50%	13
IConference	23.08%	24
ICIS	4.81%	5
Total Respondents: 104		

**Q20 How did you hear about CSCW 2015
(check all that apply):**


Answered: 108 Skipped: 23



Answer Choices	Responses	
Email	34.26%	37
Web site	48.15%	52
Facebook	19.44%	21
Twitter	16.67%	18
Fliers distributed at affiliated conferences	6.48%	7
Conversation with colleague	69.44%	75
Total Respondents: 108		

Q22 The majority of my CSCW 2015 costs were paid by:


Answered: 124 Skipped: 7



Answer Choices	Responses	
A grant / fellowship / scholarship	37.10%	46
My company / university	49.19%	61
Myself	13.71%	17
Total		124

Q23 For me to receive funding / support to attend CSCW conferences (check all that apply):


Answered: 123 Skipped: 8



Answer Choices	Responses
I must have a contribution that is published in the ACM Digital Library	44.72% 55
I must participate in a way that is listed in the proceedings, but need not be published in the ACM Digital Library	34.15% 42
I must be involved in some organizational role	13.82% 17
I must receive approval of my management / professor / organization	44.72% 55
I must receive funding from the conference	7.32% 9
I manage funding decisions on my own	28.46% 35
Total Respondents: 123	

Q24 Please answer these questions about the importance of different aspects of conference costs to you on the following scale:

Answered: 123 Skipped: 8




	Very Important	Important	Somewhat Important	Not very Important	Unimportant	Total	Weighted Average
Food costs	5.79% 7	21.49% 26	33.06% 40	30.58% 37	9.09% 11	121	3.16
Hotel charges	30.89% 38	34.96% 43	23.58% 29	8.13% 10	2.44% 3	123	2.16
Registration fees	44.72% 55	35.77% 44	15.45% 19	2.44% 3	1.63% 2	123	1.80
Transportation costs	36.59% 45	39.02% 48	17.07% 21	5.69% 7	1.63% 2	123	1.97

CSCW 2015 Survey

Q25 Please answer these questions about how likely you are to attend future CSCWs:

Answered: 125 Skipped: 6



	Very Likely	Likely	Unsure	Unlikely	Very Unlikely	Total	Weighted Average
CSCW 2016 (San Francisco)	58.06% 72	20.97% 26	15.32% 19	4.03% 5	1.61% 2	124	1.70
CSCW 2017 (Brazil)	21.77% 27	18.55% 23	36.29% 45	10.48% 13	12.90% 16	124	2.74